



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO | | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|---------------------------------|----------|-------------|-------------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/642,300 | | 08/18/2003 | Philip Victor Harman | 006020.00025 | 1991 |
| 22907 | 7590 | 09/29/2006 | | EXAMINER | |
| BANNER | | | KIM, CHONG R | | |
| 1001 G STREET N W SUITE 1100 | | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| WASHING | GTON, DO | 20001 | 2624 | | |
| | | | DATE MAILED: 09/29/2006 | | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | | |
|--|--|---|--|--|--|--|--|
| | | 10/642,300 | HARMAN, PHILIP VICTOR | | | | |
| | Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit | | | | |
| | | Charles Kim | 2624 | | | | |
| Period fo | The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply | ears on the cover sheet with the c | orrespondence address | | | | |
| A SH WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any | ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DANSIONS of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. O period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we are to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from , cause the application to become ABANDONE | N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133). | | | | |
| Status | | | | | | | |
| 1)⊠ | Responsive to communication(s) filed on 13 Se | eptember 2006. | | | | | |
| / | This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final. | | | | | | |
| 3) | Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is | | | | | | |
| | closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. | | | | | | |
| Dispositi | ion of Claims | | | | | | |
| 4)⊠ | 4) Claim(s) <u>1-4</u> is/are pending in the application. | | | | | | |
| | 4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>1 and 3</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration. | | | | | | |
| · | 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. | | | | | | |
| • | Claim(s) <u>2 and 4</u> is/are rejected. | | | | | | |
| | Claim(s) is/are objected to. | r election requirement | | | | | |
| ا_ا(ە | Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or | r election requirement. | | | | | |
| Applicati | ion Papers | | | | | | |
| 9)⊠ | The specification is objected to by the Examine | r. | | | | | |
| 10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>18 August 2003</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | | |
| | Applicant may not request that any objection to the | | | | | | |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. | | | | | | | |
| ,— | , | ammer. Note the attached office | 7.00.07.07.107117.1.0.102. | | | | |
| - | under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | | | | |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). | | | | | | | |
| a) | a) ⊠ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: | | | | | | |
| | 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 09/586,869. | | | | | | |
| | 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. <u>09/380,809</u>. | | | | | | |
| | application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). | | | | | | |
| * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| Attachmen | it(s) | _ | | | | | |
| | ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | 4) 🔲 Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da | | | | | |
| 3) X Infon | mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Processing the statement of th | 5) Notice of Informal P | | | | | |

Application/Control Number: 10/642,300 Page 2

Art Unit: 2624

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:

Species A, claim 1

Species B, claim 2

Species C, claim 3

2. Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, claim 4 is generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Application/Control Number: 10/642,300

Page 3

Art Unit: 2624

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

3. Applicant's election without traverse of claim 2 in the reply filed on September 13, 2006 is acknowledged. Accordingly, claims 1 and 3 have been withdrawn from further consideration.

Specification

4. The title of the invention is not descriptive. A new title is required that is clearly indicative of the invention to which the claims are directed.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Art Unit: 2624

5. Claims 2 and 4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Walker, U.S. Patent No. 6,104,837 ("Walker").

Page 4

Referring to claim 4, Walker discloses a method of transmitting 2D images and depth map data for viewing on a stereoscopic viewing system comprising:

embedding the depth map data in a portion of a video signal which does not obscure or overwrite the 2D image data (col. 3, lines 60-col. 4, line 56, more specifically col. 4, lines 40-56 and figure 3).

Referring to claim 2, Walker further discloses that the depth map data is embedded in an MPEG data stream of a digital television signal (col. 3, lines 60-col. 4, line 56, more specifically col. 4, lines 40-56 and figure 3).

Conclusion

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Charles Kim whose telephone number is 571-272-7421. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon thru Thurs 8:30am to 6pm and alternating Fri 9:30am to 6pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jingge Wu can be reached on 571-272-7429. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-272-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/642,300 Page 5

Art Unit: 2624

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

ck

September 26, 2006